

## Brief original article

# Association of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists with acute pancreatitis and biliary disease in individuals with diabetes and obesity: a propensity-weighted, population-based cohort study



Celia Robles Cabanillas<sup>a,b</sup>, Isabel Hurtado Navarro<sup>a,b</sup>, Aníbal García-Sempere<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Fran Llopis-Cardona<sup>a,b</sup>, Francisco Sánchez-Sáez<sup>a,b</sup>, Clara Rodríguez-Bernal<sup>a,b</sup>, Gabriel Sanfélix-Gimeno<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Health Services Research and Pharmacoepidemiology Unit, Foundation for the Promotion of Health and Biomedical Research of Valencia Region (FISABIO), Valencia, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Spanish Network for Research on Chronicity, Primary Care, and Health Promotion (RICAPPSS), Valencia, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 11 September 2024

Accepted 15 December 2024

## Keywords:

Cohort study

Comparative study

Diabetes mellitus type 2

Obesity

Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists

Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors

Gallbladder diseases

Pancreatitis

Pharmacoepidemiology

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To evaluate the risk of acute pancreatitis and biliary disease in patients treated with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RA).

**Method:** Population-based, propensity-weighted, new user, active comparator design study including patients with diabetes and obesity initiating treatment with GLP-1 RA or the comparator group sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT-2i) in the region of Valencia from 2015 to 2021.

**Results:** In adjusted, per protocol main analysis, no risk differences were found for acute pancreatitis (HR: 0.56; 95%CI: 0.17-1.91) nor for biliary disease (HR: 1.12; IC95%: 0.79, 1.58). Secondary analyses yielded similar results.

**Conclusions:** Despite we did not observe increased risk of gastrointestinal events in GLP-1 RA vs SGLT-2i patients, adherence to approved indications and close monitoring of potential adverse events are warranted to ensure patient safety.

© 2024 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of SESPAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Asociación de los agonistas del receptor del péptido similar al glucagón tipo 1 con la pancreatitis aguda y la enfermedad biliar en individuos con diabetes y obesidad: estudio de cohortes de base poblacional ajustadas por puntajes de propensión

## RESUMEN

## Palabras clave:

Estudio de cohortes

Estudio comparativo

Diabetes mellitus tipo 2

Obesidad

Agonistas del receptor del péptido similar al glucagón tipo 1

Inhibidores del cotransportador de sodio-glucosa tipo 2

Enfermedades de la vesícula biliar

Pancreatitis

Farmacoepidemiología

**Objetivo:** Evaluar el riesgo de pancreatitis aguda y enfermedad biliar en pacientes tratados con agonistas del receptor del péptido similar al glucagón tipo 1 (aGLP-1).

**Método:** Estudio de base poblacional, ajustado por índices de propensión, de nuevos usuarios y con comparador activo, incluyendo pacientes con diabetes y obesidad que iniciaron tratamiento con aGLP-1 o con un comparador inhibidor del cotransportador de sodio-glucosa 2 (iSGLT-2) en la Comunidad Valenciana, desde 2015 hasta 2021.

**Resultados:** En el análisis principal, ajustado por protocolo, no se encontraron diferencias en el riesgo de pancreatitis aguda (HR: 0.56; IC95%: 0.17-1.91) y de enfermedad biliar (HR: 1.12; IC95%: 0.79-1.58). Los análisis secundarios arrojaron resultados similares.

**Conclusiones:** A pesar de que no se observó un mayor riesgo de eventos gastrointestinales en los pacientes con aGLP-1 frente a los tratados con iSGLT-2, es necesario asegurar el cumplimiento de las indicaciones aprobadas, así como una estrecha monitorización de posibles eventos adversos para garantizar la seguridad de los pacientes.

© 2024 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de SESPAS. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

In the last years, the popularity of glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RA), a drug class traditionally employed to treat

type 2 diabetes, has grown exponentially, mostly around their use for weight loss, after the authorisation of some GLP-1RA as weight loss agents, and fuelled by media and celebrities attention. GLP-1 RA use has been linked to potentially severe gastrointestinal adverse effects such as pancreatitis, bowel obstruction or biliary disease, but evidence is based on studies that either are not adequately designed to capture those events or to infer causality,<sup>1-3</sup> or in observational studies with a debatable choice of comparator groups.<sup>4,5</sup> We eval-

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [anibal.garcia@fisabio.es](mailto:anibal.garcia@fisabio.es) (A. García-Sempere).

uated the association between GLP-1 RA use and increased risk for acute pancreatitis and biliary disease using population-based real-world data from a Spanish region with 5 million inhabitants.

## Method

### Design, setting, and data sources

Real-world, active comparator, new user retrospective cohort study combining several population-wide databases from the Valencia Health System Integrated Database (VID). VID is a set of multiple, public, population-wide electronic databases for the Valencia Region, the fourth most populated Spanish region, with approximately 5 million inhabitants. VID provides exhaustive longitudinal information including sociodemographic and administrative data, clinical, pharmaceutical prescription and dispensing linked at the individual level (including brand and generic name, formulation, strength and dosing schedule/regimen), and healthcare utilization data from public hospital care, emergency departments, specialized care, primary care and other public health services.<sup>6</sup>

We included new users of GLP-1 RA agonists and an active comparator, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT-2i), in the period Jan 1, 2015 to December 31, 2021 (see [Table S1 in online Supplementary Material](#) for Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification of drugs included). Patients receiving a prescription for either GLP-1 RA or SGLT-2i medication and not having received a prescription for an antidiabetic drug (except for metformin) in the previous 365 days were considered new users. Considering that SGLT-2i and GLP-1RA are recommended as therapeutic alternatives by many clinical guidelines, usually as second-line treatments after first-line metformin or other non-insulin treatment, new users of SGLT-2i appear to be a suitable active comparator group. The index date was the day of first prescription of a study drug. In Spain GLP-1RA for diabetes mellitus are only authorised for individuals with obesity. Accordingly, we only included new SGLT-2i or GLP-1RA users with body mass index (BMI)  $\geq 30$  when initiating therapy (see operational definition in [Table 1](#), footnote), in order to produce groups as similar as possible at baseline. Patients in both groups were required to use metformin in the index date (defined by having a prescription for metformin issued in the 60 days before the index date).

### Variables

We included the following patient sociodemographic, lifestyle and clinical variables at the individual-level: age, sex assigned at birth, income level, comorbidities at baseline (heart failure, dementia, hypertension, liver disease, renal disease, coronary heart disease, congestive obstructive pulmonary disease, malignancies, depression, sleep disorders, anxiety, substance abuse, personality disorders, psychotic disorders, other psychiatric disorders), BMI strata at baseline, previous acute pancreatitis and previous biliary disease (see [Table 1](#) and [Table S2 in online Supplementary Material](#) for ICD codes employed).

### Outcomes

Primary outcomes were acute pancreatitis (ICD code: 577; ICD-10 codes: K85, K86) and biliary disease, including cholelithiasis (ICD-9 codes: 574-575-576; ICD-10 codes: K80-K81-K82-K83). Outcomes were retrieved from different real-world databases in VID (primary care electronic records, in-hospital and outpatient hospital records and emergency room records), via ICD-10 codes registered during healthcare encounters. In the main per-protocol analyses, participants were followed from the index date to first

mutually exclusive incidence of the outcome of interest, discontinuation of study drug (defined as more than 60 days without drug supply; days of drug supply was estimated using dosing information and dispensation data), initiation of other study drug, or switch to other glucose-lowering drug (defined as receiving a prescription for any glucose-lowering drug other than the baseline treatment or metformin and not refilling the assigned drug for 60 days), death, or end of follow-up. In secondary, intention-to-treat analyses, participants were followed from the index date to first event, death, or end of follow-up (Dec 31, 2021), and were analysed according to the treatment assigned at baseline, irrespective of actual exposure during follow-up.

### Analysis

First, we described patient characteristics of GLP-1RA and SGLT-2i users included in the main analysis of acute pancreatitis and of biliary disease. For each analysis, new users that had no previous event of interest registered in VID before the index date were included. Second, as main analyses, we used a per-protocol approach to estimate the risk of pancreatitis and biliary disease in GLP-1RA vs. SGLT-2i. We carried out multivariable Cox regression modelling using our set of covariates. To adjust for potential confounding, we used inverse probability of treatment weighting based on propensity scores (PS). PS for each outcome were calculated based on the probability of initiating treatment with GLP-1RA taking into account the same covariates, to generate patient-specific stabilised weights. Covariate balance between the weighted exposure cohorts was assessed using standardised mean differences, with standardised differences  $< 0.10$  suggesting adequate balance.<sup>7</sup> As secondary analyses, we provided estimates for patients with a previous outcome of interest before the index date, as well as intention-to-treat analyses. Statistical significance was defined as 0.05. Analyses were performed using STATA version 14 and R version 3.6.0. Ethics approval was obtained from the Valencia Hospital Clinic Universitari's clinical research ethics board (2022/163) with a waiver of informed consent.

### Results

We included 11,508 new users of SGLT-2i and 3021 new users of GLP-1 RA in the acute pancreatitis analysis, and 10,664 and 2760 new users in the biliary disease analysis, respectively ([Table 1](#)). Mean follow-up was 482.9 days for GLP-1 RA users and 397.1 days for SGLT-2i users in per protocol analyses, and 991.8 and 969.7 in intention-to-treat analyses, respectively. Standardized mean differences in covariates between groups after PS weighting showed adequate balance after adjustment for main analyses (see [Table S3 in online Supplementary Material](#)). In adjusted, per protocol, main analyses, no risk differences were found for acute pancreatitis (hazard ratio [HR]: 0.56; 95% confidence interval [95CI]: 0.17-1.91) nor for biliary disease (HR: 1.12; 95%CI: 0.79-1.58) ([Table 2](#)). Intention-to-treat analyses and analyses in patients with previous outcomes (including 119 and 19 patients in the SGLT-2i and GLP1 RA groups, respectively, in the pancreatitis analysis, and 963 and 280 patients, respectively, in the biliary disease analysis) also yielded non-significant results ([Table 2](#)).

### Discussion

In this propensity-weighted, new user, active comparator study, we did not observe increased risk of acute pancreatitis nor biliary complications in patients with diabetes and obesity initiating GLP-1 RA when compared to SGLT-2i initiators. We evaluated patients with and without previous acute pancreatitis and biliary disease,

**Table 1**

Patient characteristics for the acute pancreatitis and the biliary disease analyses for patients initiating GLP-1 RA or SGLT-2i.

Patient characteristics	Acute pancreatitis analysis		Biliary disease analysis	
	SGLT-2i n = 11508	GLP-1 RA n = 3021	SGLT-2i n = 10664	GLP-1 RA n = 2760
<b>Sex, men<sup>a</sup></b>	6421 (55.80%)	1379 (45.65%)	6101 (57.21%)	1309 (47.43%)
<b>Income, euros/year<sup>b</sup></b>				
< 18.000	5683 (49.38%)	1453 (48.10%)	5278 (49.49%)	1328 (48.12%)
18.000–100.000	2359 (20.50%)	722 (23.90%)	2203 (20.66%)	674 (24.42%)
>100.000	34 (0.30%)	14 (0.46%)	34 (0.32%)	13 (0.47%)
Low resources	3345 (29.07%)	815 (26.98%)	3064 (28.73%)	728 (26.38%)
Not available	87 (0.76%)	17 (0.56%)	85 (0.80%)	17 (0.62%)
<b>Age range, years</b>				
18–44	1160 (10.08%)	611 (20.23%)	1095 (10.27%)	566 (20.51%)
45–64	6204 (53.91%)	1790 (59.25%)	5765 (54.06%)	1626 (58.91%)
65–74	2977 (25.87%)	506 (16.75%)	2733 (25.63%)	465 (16.85%)
75 and over	1167 (10.14%)	114 (3.77%)	1071 (10.04%)	103 (3.73%)
<b>Comorbidity<sup>c</sup></b>				
Cancer	1203 (10.45%)	276 (9.14%)	1083 (10.16%)	252 (9.13%)
Coronary heart disease	1443 (12.54%)	232 (7.68%)	1328 (12.45%)	205 (7.43%)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	1204 (10.46%)	282 (9.33%)	1122 (10.52%)	253 (9.17%)
Heart failure	895 (7.78%)	158 (5.23%)	815 (7.64%)	141 (5.11%)
Hypertension	8284 (71.98%)	2051 (67.89%)	7659 (71.82%)	1868 (67.68%)
Liver disease	2582 (22.44%)	717 (23.73%)	2248 (21.08%)	614 (22.25%)
Renal disease	641 (5.57%)	149 (4.93%)	578 (5.42%)	137 (4.96%)
Anxiety	4772 (41.47%)	1491 (49.35%)	4319 (40.50%)	1329 (48.15%)
Dementia	232 (2.02%)	43 (1.42%)	203 (1.90%)	37 (1.34%)
Depression	2180 (18.94%)	736 (24.36%)	1968 (18.45%)	650 (23.55%)
Drug abuse	152 (1.32%)	63 (2.09%)	146 (1.37%)	59 (2.14%)
Personality disorders	139 (1.21%)	60 (1.99%)	128 (1.20%)	55 (1.99%)
Psychotic disorders	471 (4.09%)	154 (5.10%)	429 (4.02%)	140 (5.07%)
Sleep disorders	3919 (34.05%)	1304 (43.16%)	3586 (33.63%)	1175 (42.57%)
Other psychotic disorders	1017 (8.84%)	408 (13.51%)	924 (8.66%)	358 (12.97%)
Previous acute pancreatitis	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	67 (0.63%)	9 (0.33%)
Previous biliary disease	911 (7.92%)	270 (8.94%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
Alcohol <sup>d</sup>	535 (4.65%)	107 (3.54%)	512 (4.80%)	98 (3.55%)
Tobacco <sup>d</sup>	2707 (23.52%)	730 (24.16%)	2545 (23.87%)	665 (24.09%)
<b>Body mass index, range<sup>e</sup></b>				
30–35	4553 (39.56%)	541 (17.91%)	4259 (39.94%)	502 (18.19%)
35–40	2672 (23.22%)	700 (23.17%)	2476 (23.22%)	632 (22.90%)
≥40	1739 (15.11%)	1062 (35.15%)	1597 (14.98%)	970 (35.14%)
Unknown range	2544 (22.11%)	718 (23.77%)	2332 (21.87%)	656 (23.77%)

<sup>a</sup> Sex: sex assigned at birth.<sup>b</sup> Income level: retrieved from the pharmaceutical copayment system that establishes different levels of copayment based on income categories, population exempt from copayment due to socioeconomic vulnerability (labelled in our study as the 'low resources' group), and people without income data (labelled as 'not available').<sup>c</sup> Comorbidity: active comorbidity in VID at baseline (diagnostic codes in the VID electronic medical record may be activated at the onset of a disease and deactivated after; typically diagnostic codes for chronic conditions remain active for life).<sup>d</sup> Alcohol and tobacco: registered tobacco and alcohol use in VID in the 365 days preceding the index date.<sup>e</sup> Body mass index at baseline, defined as a measure ≥30, or a diagnose of obesity using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth and Tenth Revision (ICD-9 and ICD-10), registered in the VID medical record in the 365 days before the index date. Unknown range: patients classified as obese via ICD code with no strata specification.

and we provided per protocol and intention-to-treat estimates, consistently finding no association between GLP1 RA and increased risk. Our results do not confirm the higher risk observed in other observational studies. However, these studies do not control for important confounders such as BMI, and comparator groups differ significantly at baseline from GLP-1 RA patients.<sup>4,5</sup> A systematic review including 76 randomized clinical trials found increased risk of gallbladder and biliary disease associated with GLP-1 RA; however, no significant increase was observed when including only active-comparator trials.<sup>8</sup> A potential effect of both comparator and GLP-1 RA groups in these studies (and ours) cannot therefore be ruled out.

Our study is subject to some limitations: first, real-world, daily practice observational data may be affected by many potential biases; second, despite inverse probability of treatment weighting adjustment, residual confounding is possible; third, small number of events in the acute pancreatitis analysis result in potentially imprecise estimates, a cautious interpretation is warranted; fourth, we did not include private care data, even if it

is marginal in our setting; fifth, we cannot discard a potential effect of both drugs on the outcomes; sixth, even if it is highly unlikely, there is a potential use of GLP1 RA in non-diabetic patients; and finally, extrapolation to other settings should be approached with prudence. Use of GLP-1 RA is growing exponentially. Despite we did not observe an increase in gastrointestinal events associated with this drug class when compared to SGLT-2i in patients with diabetes type 2 and obesity, we cannot fully discard a risk increase. Adherence to approved indications and close monitoring of potential adverse events and of patients at a potentially higher risk is warranted to ensure a safe use of GLP-1 RA.

#### Availability of databases and material for replication

Data are available upon reasonable request. The datasets presented in this article are not readily available due to legal restrictions on sharing the data set as regulated by the Valencia regional government by means of legal

**Table 2**

Risk of acute pancreatitis and biliary disease among new users of GLP-1RA vs SGLT-2i, without and with previous event.

Outcome	Analysis	Group	Person-time	Weighted events	Weighted rate/1000 person-year	95%CI	HR	95%CI
<i>Patients without previous event</i>								
Acute pancreatitis	Per protocol analysis	SGLT-2i	12457.00	23.46	1.88	1.27-2.91	Ref.	0.56
		GLP-1 RA	3760.15	3.94	1.05	0.33-5.13		0.17-1.91
Biliary disease	Intention to treat analysis	SGLT-2i	31452.40	66.03	2.10	1.65-2.71	Ref.	1.11
		GLP-1 RA	7526.65	17.65	2.34	1.38-4.33		0.61-2.03
Acute pancreatitis	Per protocol analysis	SGLT-2i	11388.36	138.59	12.17	10.29-14.51	Ref.	1.12
		GLP-1 RA	3333.75	46.84	14.05	10.57-19.08		0.79-1.58
Biliary disease	Intention to treat analysis	SGLT-2i	28657.17	347.88	12.14	10.90-13.56	Ref.	1.11
		GLP-1 RA	6674.24	91.75	13.75	11.21-17.03		0.88-1.41
<i>Patients with previous event</i>								
Acute pancreatitis	Per protocol analysis	SGLT-2i	91.35	29.10	318.49	200.45-510.99	Ref.	0.67
		GLP-1 RA	16.25	3.47	213.37	46.25-933.47		0.18-2.56
Biliary disease	Intention to treat analysis	SGLT-2i	194.35	38.29	197.02	134.2-291.8	Ref.	0.96
		GLP-1 RA	26.86	5.06	188.18	50.35-699.58		0.31-2.92
Acute pancreatitis	Per protocol analysis	SGLT-2i	871.60	124.12	142.40	117.54-173.49	Ref.	1.20
		GLP-1 RA	333.35	49.39	148.15	100.24-221.99		0.82-1.77
Biliary disease	Intention to treat analysis	SGLT-2i	2030.69	213.23	105.00	90.60-122.08	Ref.	1.15
		GLP-1 RA	561.98	67.57	120.23	85.67-171.45		0.82-1.61

95%CI: 95% confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio.

resolution by the Valencia Health Agency which forbids the dissemination of data to third parties (accessible at: <https://www.san.gva.es/es/web/investigacio/introduccion>). Upon request, authors can allow access to the databases to verify the accuracy of the analysis or the reproducibility of the study. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to Management Office of the Data Commission in the Valencia Health Agency.

## Editor in charge

Pilar Pinilla Domínguez.

## Transparency declaration

The corresponding author, on behalf of the other authors guarantee the accuracy, transparency and honesty of the data and information contained in the study, that no relevant information has been omitted and that all discrepancies between authors have been adequately resolved and described.

## Authorship contributions

G. Sanfélix-Gimeno had full access to all the study data and assumes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the precision of the analysis. Concept and design: C. Robles Cabanillas, I. Hurtado Navarro, G. Sanfélix-Gimeno and A. García-Sempere. Data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation: all authors. Writing of the text: C. Robles Cabanillas and A. García-Sempere. Critical review of the text and important intellectual contribution: all authors. Statistical analysis: C. Robles Cabanillas and I. Hurtado Navarro. Supervision: G. Sanfélix-Gimeno, I. Hurtado Navarro and A. García-Sempere.

## Funding

This research was co-funded by RD21/0016/0006 of the Carlos III Health Institute (ISCIII), Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities, and by the Recovery, Transformation and Resilience Plan through NextGenerationEU European funds.

## Conflicts of interest

All authors are employees of FISABIO, a research organization of in Spain affiliated with the Department of Health of the Generalitat Valenciana. FISABIO signed a collaboration agreement (2021-25) with RTI International to conduct research on management patterns and incidence of cardiovascular and renal outcomes in patients with diabetes and kidney disease, unrelated with the present work.

### What is known about the topic?

A few studies have observed higher risk of gastrointestinal events such as acute pancreatitis or biliary diseases in patients taking glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RA). However, evidence is scarce and subject to many limitations.

### What does this study add to the literature?

In this propensity-weighted, new user, active comparator design study, we did not observe increased risk of acute pancreatitis nor biliary disease in patients with diabetes and obesity initiating GLP-1 RA when compared to those initiating sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT-2i) between 2015 and 2021 in the region of Valencia, Spain.

### What are the implications of the results?

Despite we did not observe an increase in gastrointestinal events associated with GLP-1 RA when compared to SGLT-2i, we cannot fully discard a potential effect, and adherence to approved indications and close patient monitoring is warranted to ensure a safe use of GLP-1 RA.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.gaceta.2024.102444.

## References

1. Rubino DM, Greenway FL, Khalid U, et al. STEP 8 Investigators Effect of weekly subcutaneous semaglutide vs daily liraglutide on body weight in adults with overweight or obesity without diabetes: the STEP 8 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2022;327:138–50.
2. Gudin B, Ladhari C, Robin P, et al. Incretin-based drugs and intestinal obstruction: a pharmacovigilance study. *Therapie*. 2020;75:641–7.
3. Kalas MA, Galura GM, McCallum RW. Medication-induced gastroparesis: a case report. *J Investig Med High Impact Case Rep*. 2021;9, 2324709621105191.
4. Singh S, Chang HY, Richards TM, et al. Glucagonlike peptide 1-based therapies and risk of hospitalization for acute pancreatitis in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a population-based matched case-control study. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013;173:534–9.
5. Sodhi M, Rezaeianzadeh R, Kezouh A, et al. Risk of gastrointestinal adverse events associated with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists for weight loss. *JAMA*. 2023;330:1795–7.
6. García-Sempere A, Orrico-Sánchez A, Muñoz-Quiles C, et al. Data resource profile: the Valencia Health System Integrated Database (VID). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2020;49:740–1.
7. Austin PC. Balance diagnostics for comparing the distribution of baseline covariates between treatment groups in propensity-score matched samples. *Stat Med*. 2009;28:3083–107.
8. He L, Wang J, Ping F, et al. Association of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist use with risk of gallbladder and biliary diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2022;182:513–9.